





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/595,778	06/16/2000	Michael Grimbergen	AMAT/2077.D1	6490
32588	7590 12/04/2002			
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.			EXAMINER	
	ГBLVD. M/S 2061 ARA, CA 95050		OLSEN, ALLAN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	7
	DATE		DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/595,778	GRIMBERGEN ET AL		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Allan W. Olsen	1763		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO THE M - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	ugust 2002 .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-22,24 and 25</u> is/are pending	in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.			
5)🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,13-18,20,21,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 12,19 and 22 is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
/-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· <u></u>	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention as claims 24 and 25 are dependent upon a cancelled claim, claim 23.

Claim -- Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10, 13-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,472,508 issued to Saxena.

Saxena teaches method of plasma processing a substrate. The method include inductively coupling RF energy to plasma gases after the RF energy passes through the ceiling of the apparatus, wherein the ceiling is considered to be a domed ceiling that includes all portions of the chamber that lie above port 15. Saxena teaches monitoring the plasma by using a spectrophotometer (18) to detect radiation from directly above the substrate after the radiation propagates through a window (17). The substrate processing method of Saxena includes using an apparatus with an antenna that is

Art Unit: 1763

positioned over a portion of the ceiling. The antenna is used to inductively couple RF energy into the apparatus thereby exciting the gases within the chamber into a plasma. See figure 1 and column 4, line 66 - column 5, line 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saxena in view of U.S. Patent 4,953,982 issued to Ebbing et al. (hereinafter, Ebbing).

Saxena teaches limitations of claim 21 as noted in the above 102 rejection.

Saxena does not teach enclosing the monitoring apparatus within a second enclosure.

Ebbing teaches a substrate monitoring system that is enclosed within a second enclosure (column 9, lines 5-7).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to enclose the monitoring system of Saxena because Ebbing teaches that this protects the delicate optics and eliminates sources of noise, thereby increasing the signal to noise ratio.

Art Unit: 1763

Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saxena and Ebbing as applied to claim 21 above and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,691,540 issued to Halle et al. (hereinafter, Halle).

Saxena/Ebbing does not teach that the spectrophotometer includes a bifurcated optical cable with end being connected to the signal source and one end being connected to the signal detector.

Halle teaches a plasma process monitoring apparatus that includes a collimating lens and a bifurcated optical cable with one end being connected to the signal source and one end being connected to the signal detector. See: abstract; figure 1; column 2, lines 11-33 column 3, lines 2-11 and 39-40.

The above noted limitations that Saxena/Ebbing fail to teach are apparatus limitations that are recited within method claims. Apparatus limitations, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, are afforded little weight in process claims¹. Nevertheless, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the apparatus of Halle into the method of Saxena/Ebbing because it the optics that Halle uses to provide and collect spectroscopic electromagnetic radiation are recognized as being equivalent to alternative methods of providing and collecting electromagnetic radiation for the purpose of spectroscopic analysis. Furthermore, Halle teaches that the assembly is compact and inexpensive and the design provides significant advantages, such as, the ability to measure the trench depth of features with a lateral dimension of less than $0.5 \, \mu m$.

^{1.} In re Tarczy-Hornoch 158 USPQ 141, 150 (CCPA 1968); In re Edwards 128 USPQ 387 (CCPA 1961); Stalego v. Heymes 120 USPQ 473, 478 (CCPA 1959); Ex parte Hart 117 USPQ 193 (PO BdPatApp 1957); In re Freeman 44 USPQ 116 (CCPA 1940); In re Sweeney 72 USPQ 501 CCPA 1947).

Art Unit: 1763

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9 are allowed.

Claims 12, 19 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 703-306-9075. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Mills, can be reached on 703-308-1633.

The examiner's Right-Fax (direct to desktop) phone number is 703-872-9684. Alternatively, the general fax numbers for TC1700 are 703-872-9310 (non-after finals) and 703-872-9311(after-final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Allan Olsen, Ph.D. November 27, 2002 Alita-